Graduate Statistics, 541A, Spring 2023, USC		Instru	Instructor: Steven Heilman	
Name:	USC ID:]	Date:	
Signature:(By signing here, I certify that I hav	—· re taken this test	while refraining	from cheating.)	

Final Exam

This exam contains 13 pages (including this cover page) and 8 problems. Check to see if any pages are missing. Enter all requested information on the top of this page.

You may *not* use your book or any calculator on this exam. You *cannot* use your homeworks. You are required to show your work on each problem on the exam. The following rules apply:

- You have 120 minutes to complete the exam.
- If you use a theorem or proposition from class or the notes or the book you must indicate this and explain why the theorem may be applied. It is okay to just say, "by some theorem/proposition from class."
- Organize your work, in a reasonably neat and coherent way, in the space provided. Work scattered all over the page without a clear ordering will receive very little credit.
- Mysterious or unsupported answers will not receive full credit. A correct answer, unsupported by calculations, explanation, or algebraic work will receive no credit; an incorrect answer supported by substantially correct calculations and explanations might still receive partial credit.
- If you need more space, use the back of the pages; clearly indicate when you have done this. Scratch paper appears at the end of the document.

Do not write in the table to the right. Good luck!^a

Problem	Points	Score
1	10	
2	10	
3	10	
4	10	
5	10	
6	10	
7	10	
8	10	
Total:	80	

 $[^]a\mathrm{May}$ 3, 2023, © 2023 Steven Heilman, All Rights Reserved.

Reference sheet

Below are some definitions that may be relevant.

We say that a sequence of random variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ converges in probability to a random variable $X : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ if: for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

We say that a sequence of real-valued random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots converges in distribution to a real-valued random variable X if, for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\mathbf{P}(X \leq t)$ is continuous at t,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{P}(X_n \le t) = \mathbf{P}(X \le t).$$

We say that a sequence of random variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ converges in L_2 to a random variable $X : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{E} |X_n - X|^2 = 0.$$

We say that a sequence of random variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ converges almost surely to a random variable $X : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ if

$$\mathbf{P}(\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = X) = 1.$$

Suppose $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ is a random sample of size n from a distribution f where $f \in \{f_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$ is a family of densities (such as an exponential family). Let $t : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^k$, so that $Y := t(X_1, ..., X_n)$ is a statistic.

We say that Y is a **sufficient statistic** for θ if, for every $y \in \mathbf{R}^k$ and for every $\theta \in \Theta$, the conditional distribution of (X_1, \ldots, X_n) given Y = y (with respect to probabilities given by f_{θ}) does not depend on θ .

We say Y is **minimal sufficient** for θ if Y is sufficient for θ and, for every statistic $Z \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ that is sufficient for θ , there exists a function $r \colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^k$ such that Y = r(Z).

We say Y is **complete** for $\{f_{\theta} \colon \theta \in \Theta\}$ if the following holds:

For any
$$f: \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}$$
 such that $\mathbf{E}_{\theta} f(Y) = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$, it holds that $f(Y) = 0$.

We say Y is **ancillary** for θ if the distribution of Y does not depend on θ .

Let $X, Y, Z: \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ be discrete or continuous random variables. Let A be the range of Y. Define $g: A \to \mathbf{R}$ by $g(y) := \mathbf{E}(X|Y=y)$, for any $y \in A$. We then define the **conditional expectation** of X given Y, denoted $\mathbf{E}(X|Y)$, to be the random variable g(Y).

We say that Y is uniformly minimum variance unbiased (UMVU) for $g(\theta)$ if, for any other unbiased estimator Z for $g(\theta)$, we have $\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(Y) \leq \operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(Z) \ \forall \ \theta \in \Theta$.

1. (10 points)

Let X be a random variable uniformly distributed in [0,1]. (That is, X has PDF $f_X(x) = 1$ when $x \in [0,1]$, and $f_X(x) = 0$ when $x \notin [0,1]$.) Let Y be a random variable uniformly distributed in [0,1]. Assume that X and Y are independent.

- Compute P(X > 3/4).
- Compute $\mathbf{E}X$.
- Compute $P(X + Y \le 1/2)$.

2. (10 points)

Let $X_1, X_2, ...$ be real-valued random variables. Let X be a real-valued random variable with finite second moment. Assume that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{E} \left| X_n - X \right|^2 = 0.$$

- Prove that X_1, X_2, \ldots converges in probability to X.
- Does X_1, X_2, \ldots converges in distribution to X? Justify your answer.

[This was a repeated homework question]

3. (10 points) Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from the Gaussian distribution with mean $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$. That is, X_1 has PDF $\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$, $\forall x \in \mathbf{R}$.

Let
$$\overline{X}_n := (X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n$$
, and let $S_n := \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^2}$.

Show: $(n-1)S_n^2/\sigma^2$ is a chi-squared distributed random variable with n-1 degrees of freedom.

Hint: you can freely use the following fact:

$$nS_{n+1}^2 = (n-1)S_n^2 + \frac{n}{n+1}(X_{n+1} - \overline{X}_n)^2, \quad \forall n \ge 2.$$

You can also freely use that S_n is independent of \overline{X}_n .

You can freely use that: a chi-squared random variable with k degrees of freedom has the same distribution as a sum squares of k i.i.d. standard Gaussians.

[This was a repeated question from a previous exam.]

- 4. (10 points) Let $X := (X_1, ..., X_n)$ be a random sample of size n from a binomial distribution with parameters n and p. Here n is a positive (known) integer and $0 is unknown. (That is, <math>X_1, ..., X_n$ are i.i.d. and X_1 is a binomial random variable with parameters n and p, so that $\mathbf{P}(X_1 = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$ for all integers $0 \le k \le n$.) You can freely use that $\mathbf{E}X_1 = np$ and $\mathrm{Var}X_1 = np(1-p)$.
 - Computer the Fisher information $I_X(p)$ for any 0 . (Consider <math>n to be fixed.)
 - Let Z be an unbiased estimator of p^2 (assume that Z is a function of X_1, \ldots, X_n). State the Cramér-Rao inequality for Z.
 - Let W be an unbiased estimator of 1/p (assume that W is a function of X_1, \ldots, X_n). State the Cramér-Rao inequality for W.

[This was a repeated question from a previous exam.]

5. (10 points) Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables. Let $\theta > 0$ be an unknown parameter. Assume that X_1 is uniform on the interval $[0, \theta]$.

Denote
$$X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$$
.

- Is the Fisher Information $I_{X_1}(\theta)$ well-defined? Justify your answer. If $I_{X_1}(\theta)$ can be computed, simplify $I_{X_1}(\theta)$ to the best of your ability.
- Is the Fisher Information $I_X(\theta)$ well-defined? Justify your answer. If $I_X(\theta)$ can be computed, simplify $I_X(\theta)$ to the best of your ability.

[This was a modified homework question.]

6. (10 points) Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables. Let 0 be an unknown parameter. Assume that

$$\mathbf{P}(X_1 = k) = (1 - p)^{k-1}p,$$

for all positive integers $k \geq 1$.

You can freely use the following facts: $\mathbf{E}X_1 = 1/p$, $Var(X_1) = (1-p)/p^2$

- Find a statistic Z such that: Z is a method of moments estimator of p, and Z is a function of X_1, \ldots, X_n . Justify your answer.
- Find a statistic W such that W is an MLE for p, and W is a function of X_1, \ldots, X_n . (Make sure to justify that an MLE exists. Is an MLE unique in this case?) (Here MLE refers to a Maximum Likelihood Estimator.)

[This was a modified qual question]

7. (10 points) Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables. Let $\lambda > 0$ be an unknown parameter. Assume that

$$\mathbf{P}(X_1 = k) = (e^{-\lambda}) \frac{\lambda^k}{k!},$$

for all nonnegative integers $k \geq 0$.

You can freely use the following facts: $\mathbf{E}X_1 = \lambda$, $\mathrm{Var}(X_1) = \lambda$, $I_{X_1}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$.

You may assume that the MLE Y_n for λ exists and is unique, and it is given by:

$$Y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

- Is Y_n unbiased for λ ?
- Is the sequence of estimators Y_1, Y_2, \ldots consistent? That is, does this sequence of random variables converge in probability to λ as $n \to \infty$? Justify your answer.
- What happens to the quantity $Var(Y_n)$ as $n \to \infty$? More specifically, does this quantity asymptotically achieve the lower bound in the Cramér-Rao Inequality (for unbiased estimators of λ)? Justify your answer.
- Describe all unbiased estimators of λ that achieve equality in the Cramér-Rao inequality. (Assume such an estimator is a function of X_1, \ldots, X_n , for fixed n.)

8. (10 points) Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables. Let 0 be an unknown parameter. Assume that

$$\mathbf{P}(X_1 = k) = (1 - p)^{k-1}p,$$

for all positive integers $k \geq 1$.

- Find a statistic Z such that: Z is complete for p, Z is sufficient for p, and Z is a function of X_1, \ldots, X_n . Justify your answer. (If you want to, you can use a result from the homework to do this part of the question.)
- Find a statistic W such that W is unbiased for p, and such that W is UMVU for p. (W should be a function of X_1, \ldots, X_n .) Justify your answer.

(Hint: you can use that a sum of n independent geometric random variables has the same distribution as Y where $\mathbf{P}(Y=m)=\binom{m-1}{n-1}p^n(1-p)^{m-n}$ for all integers $m\geq n$.) [This was a modified qual question]

(Scratch paper 1)

(Scratch paper 2)

(Scratch paper 3)