

# 541B Midterm 1 Solutions<sup>1</sup>

## 1. QUESTION 1

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from a Poisson distribution with unknown parameter  $\lambda > 0$ . (So,  $\mathbf{P}(X_1 = k) = e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k / k!$  for all integers  $k \geq 0$ .)

- Find an MLE (maximum likelihood estimator) for  $\lambda$ .
- Is the MLE you found unique? That is, could there be more than one MLE for this problem?

*Solution.* The MLE of  $\theta$  is a value of  $\theta$  maximizing

$$\log \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{X_i} e^{-\theta} / X_i! = \log \left( \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} e^{-n\theta} \prod_{i=1}^n [X_i!] \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i!) - n\theta + \log \theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

Taking a derivative in  $\theta$ , we get  $-n + \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . From the first derivative test, there is a unique maximum value of  $\theta$  when  $\theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , so the MLE for  $\theta$  is  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

## 2. QUESTION 2

Suppose  $X$  is a binomial distributed random variable with parameters 2 and  $\theta \in \{1/2, 3/4\}$ . (That is,  $X$  is the number of heads that result from flipping two coins, where each coin has probability  $\theta$  of landing heads.)

We want to test the hypothesis  $H_0$  that  $\theta = 1/2$  versus the hypothesis  $H_1$  that  $\theta = 3/4$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of hypothesis tests with significance level at most  $1/40$ .

(Recall that the significance level of a hypothesis test  $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \mathbf{E}_{\theta} \phi(X)$ .)

Find a uniformly most powerful (UMP) class  $\mathcal{T}$  hypothesis test  $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .

Compute all constants that appear in the definition of  $\phi$ . Justify your answer.

Hint: you can freely use the following facts about the PMF  $f_{\theta}$  of  $X$

$$\frac{f_{3/4}(0)}{f_{1/2}(0)} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \frac{f_{3/4}(1)}{f_{1/2}(1)} = \frac{3}{4}, \quad \frac{f_{3/4}(2)}{f_{1/2}(2)} = \frac{9}{4}.$$

*Solution.* The Neyman-Pearson Lemma says that a UMP test for the class of tests with an upper bound on the significance level must be a likelihood ratio test with significance level equal to  $1/40$ . That is, there is some  $k > 0$  and  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$  such that the following hypothesis test is UMP class  $\mathcal{T}$ .

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) > k f_{\theta_0}(x) \\ 0 & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) < k f_{\theta_0}(x) \\ \gamma & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) = k f_{\theta_0}(x). \end{cases}$$

After examining the likelihood ratios, we decide to choose  $k = 9/4$ , so that

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) > (9/4) f_{\theta_0}(x) \\ 0 & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) < (9/4) f_{\theta_0}(x) \\ \gamma & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) = (9/4) f_{\theta_0}(x) \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } x \neq 2 \\ \gamma & , \text{ if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>October 2, 2025, © 2023 Steven Heilman, All Rights Reserved.

Then  $\mathbf{E}_{\theta_0}\phi(X) = \mathbf{P}_{\theta_0}(X = 2)\gamma = \mathbf{P}_{1/2}(X = 2)\gamma = (1/4)\gamma$ . Since this quantity is equal to  $1/40$  by assumption, we choose  $\gamma := 1/10$ . That is, our UMP test is

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } x \neq 2 \\ 1/10 & , \text{ if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

### 3. QUESTION 3

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a real-valued random sample of size  $n$  so that  $X_1$  has PDF given by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R},$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$  is an unknown parameter.

Suppose we want to test the hypothesis  $H_0$  that  $\mu = 0$  versus the hypothesis  $H_1$  that  $\mu > 0$ .

- Describe a uniformly most powerful hypothesis test among all hypothesis tests with significance level at most  $1/3$ . Justify your answer.
- Write a  $p$ -value for the test you found. Simplify the expression to a reasonable extent.

*Solution.* We have for any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

$$f_\mu(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x_i-\mu)^2/2} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\mu)^2}.$$

So, if  $\mu_1 > \mu_0 > 0$ , we have for any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

$$\frac{f_{\mu_1}(x)}{f_{\mu_0}(x)} = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i-\mu_1)^2 - (x_i-\mu_0)^2]/2} = e^{\sum_{i=1}^n [2x_i(\mu_1-\mu_0) - (\mu_1^2 - \mu_0^2)]/2}.$$

Since  $\mu_1 - \mu_0 > 0$ , this likelihood ratio is a strictly increasing function of  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ . We conclude from the Karlin-Rubin Theorem (with  $\Theta = [0, \infty)$ ) that there is a UMP test of the form

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i > c \\ 0 & , \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i < c \\ \gamma & , \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = c. \end{cases}$$

for some  $c \in \mathbf{R}$ . Rewriting this, and noting that  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = c$  has probability zero for any  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i < c \\ 0 & , \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq c. \end{cases}$$

A  $p$ -value is then  $p(X)$  where  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and

$$p(x) := \mathbf{P}_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right), \quad \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a mean 0 Gaussian with variance 1, we could rewrite this as

$$p(x) = \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2} dy.$$

#### 4. QUESTION 4

Suppose  $\Theta = \{\theta_0, \theta_1\}$ ,  $\Theta_0 = \{\theta_0\}$ ,  $\Theta_1 = \{\theta_1\}$ . Let  $H_0$  be the hypothesis  $\{\theta = \theta_0\}$  and let  $H_1$  be the hypothesis  $\{\theta = \theta_1\}$ . Let  $\{f_{\theta_0}, f_{\theta_1}\}$  be two multivariable probability densities on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Fix  $k \geq 0$ . Define a **likelihood ratio test**  $\phi: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  to be

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) > k f_{\theta_0}(x) \\ 0 & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) < k f_{\theta_0}(x) \\ (\text{unspecified}) & , \text{ if } f_{\theta_1}(x) = k f_{\theta_0}(x). \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

Define

$$\alpha := \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta(\theta) = \beta(\theta_0) = \mathbf{E}_{\theta_0} \phi(X). \quad (**)$$

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the class of all randomized hypothesis tests with significance level at most  $\alpha$ .

Prove the following:

Any randomized hypothesis test satisfying  $(*)$  is a UMP class  $\mathcal{T}$  test.

*Solution.*

As we already noted in  $(**)$ ,  $\Theta_0$  consists of a single point, so the supremum appearing in  $(**)$  is just  $\beta(\theta_0)$ , and we will repeatedly use this fact below without further mention.

Let  $\beta(\theta)$  be the power function of the test corresponding to  $\phi$ . Let  $\phi'$  another test in  $\mathcal{T}$ , and let  $\beta'(\theta)$  be the power function of this test. By definition of  $\phi$ , we have

$$[\phi(x) - \phi'(x)][f_{\theta_1}(x) - k f_{\theta_0}(x)] \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Therefore,

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} [\phi(x) - \phi'(x)][f_{\theta_1}(x) - k f_{\theta_0}(x)] dx = \beta(\theta_1) - \beta'(\theta_1) - k[\beta(\theta_0) - \beta'(\theta_0)]. \quad (***)$$

Since  $\phi$  has significance level  $\alpha$  and  $\phi'$  has significance level at most  $\alpha$ , we have  $\beta(\theta_0) - \beta'(\theta_0) \geq 0$ . So,  $k \geq 0$  and  $(***)$  imply that  $\beta(\theta_1) - \beta'(\theta_1) \geq 0$ . That is, the  $\phi$  test is UMP class  $\mathcal{T}$ .